



***RFMS MAD DOG
Final Report
to
SFA Management Council***

May 19, 2000





The Story

A Dog's Life

Grrrrrr.

Although most schools have successfully implemented the new Recipient Financial Management System (RFMS) in support of the Federal Pell Grant Program for the 1999-2000 award year, we began hearing grumblings from schools about the new system. Things like: the workload had doubled, information about the new system came out too late, it was too hard to use, and, too often, the help line was not enough help.

Mad what?

The Mad Dog was formed as a cross-functional team to talk to schools, their servicers, and software providers about problems arising from implementation of RFMS in order to recommend longer term changes the Department could make to streamline the Pell Grant process.

Don't Fence Me In.

The Mad Dog team used a variety of ways to reach out to the Department and its customers to find out what they were thinking about the new Pell/RFMS process:

- 16 site visits – including one servicer
- 2 focus groups – with a total of 40 participants
- 6 teleconferences – including one to a third party vendor
- Call monitoring – Customer Service calls for EDExpress and Pell
- E-mail – Analyzed messages from SFATECH and the #Pell address

The Team involved customers in both the FAA's office and the Business office thereby capturing the entire process of processing Pell Grants. This holistic approach generated high quality, detailed feedback facilitating issue recognition and formulation of effective action steps.

You Can Teach an Old Dog New Tricks.

Although schools had encountered difficulties in the RFMS implementation, the Mad Dog team did receive positive comments. However, this document details three areas where the Mad Dog has identified a need to focus: Top Notch Service, Simpler Use, and Better Information. There are eight objectives that the Mad Dog team has identified as a means for addressing issues in Pell Grant processing. Attached to each objective are a number of action items. These action items fall into two categories; *Quick Hits* – which have a time frame of 90 days or less, and *Recommendations* – which are actions to be taken that require longer term planning. The Mad Dog team sees these objectives and actions as leading to greater understanding of the environment in which schools function and greater integration between the Department and schools in achieving an easy and efficient processing of Title IV fund delivery. The results of the Mad Dog are also intended to inform the work being carried out by the Common Origination and Disbursement IPT, as well as, the work of other IPT's. The Team hopes that by implementing these action items we can make OSFA's bite much more effective than its bark.

1

Top-Notch Service

Objective 1: Improve RFMS Customer Service to Pell Program Schools

SRs on the Pell line are not "acknowledgable"

| Actions | Lead | Other Participants | Planned Benefit Date |
|--|---|---|--|
| Create "one-call" RFMS question and issue resolution <ul style="list-style-type: none"> provide RFMS CS staff dedicated on-call expert resources improve CS scripting develop hand-off protocol for NSLDS, NCS, 3rd party vendors, school personnel. <i>Note: As the Call Center IPT matures, this process will move to the Call Center IPT)</i> | Pell Systems RFMS CS Workgroup | Students CIO ACS NCS | May 2001 |
| Educate CSRs on basics of the multiple systems needed to support Pell by developing training that includes basic RFMS, NSLDS, EDEExpress, CPS operations | Pell Systems RFMS CS Workgroup | SFA University Students Schools ACS NCS | December 2000 |
| Create continuous customer satisfaction feedback system for Pell delivery by giving schools frequent and painless opportunities to provide feedback: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> After each contact send an email survey to school via Pell ID, On an ad hoc, random selection basis, In person through school visits, In person at conferences and meetings. Evaluate call trends and issue FAQ's and systems enhancements as needed | Pell Systems RFMS CS Workgroup | Pell Operations CIO Analysis ACS | Starting immediately |
| Coordinate Pell communication across systems (i.e. RFMS,CPS,NSLDS, EDEExpress.) Move responsibility for initial responses to Pell RFMS questions on SFA Tech to Pell Systems, Pell Ops and Pell Customer Service. Maximize use of current phone system features including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reinstating IVRU call answering and routing, Customizing IVRU to allow schools to request reports or access data, Call monitoring Collecting customer satisfaction data at the end of each call via the IVRU | Pell Systems RFMS CS Workgroup Pell Ops Pell Systems Pell Systems | ACS CSB ACS Pell Operations | Starting immediately February 2001 Summer 2000 |
| Define customer service standards, indicators and implement in the Pell Customer Service contract. Provide no-cost customer service to all schools (eliminate toll call to EDEExpress) | Pell Systems Students | Acquisitions CPO Pell Operations | November 2000 TBD |

2

Simpler Use

Objective 2: Facilitate schools' and other partners' preparedness for SFA modernization initiatives

“Electronic initiatives have strained our institutional resources—the university is not upgrading fast enough to keep pace”

| Actions | Lead | Other Participants | Planned Benefit Date |
|---|----------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Initiate outreach to IT staff at schools, servicers, 3 rd party software vendors, to collect information about computing environments, IT capabilities and issues. | CIO Schools | Students contractors | IPT |
| Provide minimum software and hardware requirements for interfacing with our systems to schools. | CIO | Schools Students contractors | Pending Federal Register Notice |
| Increase awareness of school business processes and constraints imposed on schools by outside entities and authorities. | Schools | | Begin immediately |

Objective 3: Eliminate rounding and Address pennies issues

“We want consistent rounding rules that all schools use”

| Actions | Lead | Other Participants | Planned Benefit Date |
|--|---------|---|----------------------|
| Develop a single policy on rounding for all Title IV programs, systems. ♦ Develop tools to assist all schools in using the same rounding standards. | Schools | CFO Students Financial Partners Analysis Contractors | IPT |
| Evaluate the financial impact of not reporting pennies in the Title IV Programs. | Schools | CFO Students Financial Partners Analysis Contractors | IPT |

2

Simpler Use (con't)

Objective 4: Make it easier for schools to submit records and correct errors

“Having to send origination and multiple disbursement records has doubled our workload”

| Actions | Lead | Other Participants | Planned Benefit Date |
|--|-------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| Review impact of a single record submitted at the time of disbursement to the current RFMS system. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Review origination and disbursement record data elements and how we use them. Eliminate data elements not used. Review edits to ensure they provide value to the transaction. Note: These items will set the stage for an early implementation of COD. | Schools TIV Delivery | | COD IPT |
| Provide alternate, optional RFMS record formats, prior to COD implementation, based on COD analysis of data elements. | Pell Systems | COD IPT ACS | May 2001 |
| Reevaluate edits and warning vs. error conditions. Use data on “hits” on edit codes to determine: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Edits never hit, Most frequent edits hit, Ranges of edits hit. Eliminate edits never hit. Analyze reasons for the most frequent edits; modify the system, develop documentation to help schools reduce errors. | Pell Systems | COD IPT ACS | In progress. |
| Develop more descriptive explanation of edits and error conditions, how to correct and prevent errors. | Pell Systems | Pell Operations ACS | May 2000. |
| Create a database definition (DBD) value within RFMS for QAP schools to use for student verification status. | Pell Systems | ACS Schools QAP | May 2001 |
| Evaluate the current verification edit process to ensure that subsequent selections do not occur after a school locks-in the record. | Student | CPS | April 2002 |
| Develop a “to-be” vision of what a common student identifier needs to be. | SFA | SFA | June 2001 |

Objective 5: Make reconciling simple

“Help! My business office is ready to shoot me”

| Actions | Lead | Other Participants | Planned Benefit Date |
|---|-----------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| Create a 1999-2000 YTD file for all non-EDEExpress schools that provides the functionality of the EDEExpress YTD file: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Printable, Sortable by user-defined parameters, Delimited for input into user developed compare program. | Pell Systems | Pell Operations ACS | June/July 2000 |
| Include business offices and NACUBO in outreach efforts as they support the Title IV delivery process. | Pell Operations | GAPS | August 2000 |

2 *Simpler Use (con't)*

Objective 6: Ensure consistent and timely delivery of Pell funds to

schools

“What we need is an assurance that we will have access to funds when our students need it”

| | Lead | Other Participants | Planned Benefit Date |
|--|-----------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Re-evaluate initial authorization formula to ensure schools have adequate initial funding but are not over-enriched. | Pell Operations | CFO Pell Systems ACS | In progress May 2000 |
| Eliminate maximum number of manual steps in the RFMS Oracle subledger approve and pay process. | Pell Operations | CFO Pell Systems ACS | In progress August 2000 |
| Evaluate Oracle general ledger COTS product capabilities and compare to subledger capabilities. Transition from subledger to general ledger if warranted. | Pell Operations | CFO Pell Systems ACS | In progress August 2000 |
| Analyze 1999-2000 production interruptions that delayed RFMS funding request processing within RFMS and between RFMS and GAPS. Modify code, procedures as indicated. | Pell Systems | Pell Operations ACS CFO GAPS | In progress |
| Develop metrics containing indicators of how well the system is enabling the timely delivery of Pell Grant funds to schools. | Pell Systems | ACS | May 2001 |

3 Better Information

Objective 7: Enable 3rd party software providers and mainframe schools to deliver Pell

“We live or die by the timeliness of our software updates”

| Actions | Lead | Other Participants | Planned Benefit Date |
|---|--------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|
| Include 3 rd party software vendors and mainframe schools in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ♦ Integrated requirements definition meetings ♦ Periodic walkthroughs of changing requirements ♦ Standing focus groups ♦ Expand systems testing opportunities for 3rd party vendors | Pell Systems | Pell Operations ACS CIO | May 2001 |
| Commit to synchronizing the annual development requirements to the school business process needs so 3 rd party vendors and mainframe schools have sufficient systems development and testing periods. | SFA | SFA | July 2000 |

Objective 8: Provide schools with easy access to comprehensive RFMS information through full-service and self-service methods

“I shouldn’t have to be a computer expert to understand the error codes”

| Actions | Lead | Other Participants | Planned Benefit Date |
|---|--------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Provide schools current, integrated information through a single entry point. | CIO | Schools | In progress Schools Portal |
| Redefine “implement a new RFMS release” beyond software implementation. Ensure user documentation, training, and customer service readiness are part of implementation. | Pell Systems | Pell Operations CMO IIS ACS | May 2001 |
| Expand number of schools participating in development cycle focus groups. Conduct concurrent focus groups in a variety of locations to maximize school input. | Pell Systems | CMOs IIS Pell Operations ACS | May 2001 |
| Create a “functional” guide to RFMS in layman’s terms for release to SFA staff, schools, 3 rd party software vendors, servicers. | Pell Systems | Pell Operations ACS Analysis | May 2001 |
| Increase Pell and RFMS knowledge within SFA by expanding SFA participation in development cycle focus groups to include regional staff and non-Pell headquarters staff. | Pell Systems | CMOs IIS Pell Operations ACS | May 2001 |
| Re-evaluate, with schools, the technical reference and other documents to improve content. Include best practices and business rules in documentation for schools. | Pell Systems | Pell Operations ACS | May 2001 |
| Make all RFMS documentation available and downloadable through the RFMS web site. | Pell Systems | ACS | May 2001. |
| Expand the help functionality on the RFMS web site. | Pell Systems | ACS Pell Operations CMO | In progress for July 2000. Further improvements May 2001 |

***RFMS Mad Dog
Team Members***

Paula Bakey - NCS
Milissa Bartold - Mod. Partner
Kirsten Dartnell - Mod. Partner
Paul Hill Jr. - CIO
Candice Hong - CFO
Jim McMahon - Schools
Sean McMahon - CFO
Caroline Raistrick - ACS
Karen Sefton - Schools (Team Lead)
Michele Selvage - Schools
Brian Sentz - ACS
Kathleen Wicks - Schools

With Special Thanks to:

Nichelle Boone - Schools
Susan Burwell - NCS
Doug Farbrother - PSG
Mary Haldane - Schools
Anthony Jones - Analysis
Ginger Klock - Students
Tanya Boyd - Students